

S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. P-22425-B-US 01/15/00 PICCIALLO 09/478,051 **EXAMINER** WM01/0226 MALINOWSKI, W PETER J BUTCH III **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER 2600 ARAMARK BUILDING 2164 1101 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA PA 19107 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

02/26/0**Y**

proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•				
Office Assign Summany	09/478,051	PICCIALLO, MICHAEL J.		
• Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Walter Malinowski	2164	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 u	lanuary 2000 and 07 December	<u>ber 2000</u> .	
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 60-74 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-74</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)				
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed January 5, 2000, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein which was not retrievable by the examiner and thus has been cross out has not been considered.

It is recommended that the applicant provide the copies of the crossed out references and also provide a list (an IDS) of them.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 60-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fernandez-Holmann, U.S. Patent No. 5,787,404, in view of Fleming, U.S. Patent No. 5,953,710.

Fernandez-Holman discloses a computerized method for transferring funds to third parties in which the funds are deposited periodically and automatically (col. 2, lines 1-55; col. 7, lines 37-57).

Fernandez-Holmann seems to suggest a customer account file but does not clearly disclose one.

Fleming discloses a customer account file (col. 6, line 7, through col. 7, line 24).

To provide a means of record keeping, it would have been obvious to use a customer account file, as taught by Fleming, in the system of Fernandez-Holmann.

Fleming discloses the purchase of goods and/ or services.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 60-74 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-38 of U. S. Patent No. 6,044,360 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: periodically transferring funds to a third party customer account file. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented

from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during

prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller,

397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Walter Malinowski whose telephone number

is (703) 308-3172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM -

4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The

fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are (703) 308-5401 for regular communications and (703) 308-5355 for

After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0956.

wjm

February 14, 2001

Walter J. Malinowski Primary Examiner

alter Malinowski

Technology Center 2800